1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 1:23-cv-00256-ADA-EPG RIGOBERTO HERNANDEZ GARCIA, 11 Plaintiff, ORDER RE: JOINT STIPULATION FOR 12 **EXTENSION OF TIME** v. 13 (ECF No. 7). EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC, 14 Defendant. 15 16 On March 21, 2023, the parties filed a proposed order and joint stipulation for an extension of 17 time for Defendant to respond to the initial complaint pursuant to Local Rule 144. (ECF No. 7). Based 18 on the parties' stipulation (ECF No. 7), IT IS ORDERED that Defendant shall have up to and including 19 April 18, 2023, in which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 22 /s/ Encir P. Shoring
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **March 22, 2023** 23 24 25 26 <sup>1</sup> The Court notes that Local Rule 144(a) allows the parties to file "an initial stipulation extending time for no more than 27 twenty-eight (28) days to respond to a complaint. . . without approval of the Court if the stipulation is signed on behalf of all parties who have appeared in the action and are affected by the stipulation." As the parties' stipulation complies with Local 28 Rule 144, it is unnecessary for the Court to issue an order of approval. However, the Court has done so as a courtesy given the proposed order filed with the Court.